

fishermen or other persons? A. There were no fishermen that I discovered.

Q. Lieut. Hood testified very positively to a conversation with the Spaniards at Cienfuegos. Can you say that no such conversation took place? A. I cannot recall one single word that Mr. Hood said to me or any conversation that I had with him.

Q. Did Admiral Cervera had left Santiago at some point to the west at the same time that you left Cienfuegos? A. I cannot say. I have passed between your ships and the shore without being seen by you? A. If by night, probably yes. In the day, I think not.

Q. What was your reason for going over twenty miles to the south of Santiago on May 29? A. I think I laid a course for that point supposing that the Spanish squadron was out of the harbor and that they would run to the southward, and it was with a view of giving me a larger horizon.

Q. Was it not possible for your squadron to blockade Santiago for a few days after May 29? A. They could not have reached West? A. Yes, if I had known then, I know subsequently that the Spanish fleet was there.

Q. Did not the orders under which you were acting require you to remain off Santiago? A. Possibly, but I did not think that the orders given me were to deprive me of all discretion in the matter if I heard to the contrary.

Q. Was it not possible for your squadron to blockade Santiago on May 29? A. I think that the Spanish fleet was there.

Q. Is that your full answer to the question? A. I have said that I thought the reason of going to the south was to have a larger horizon. I probably would have been the earlier and under the circumstances of my information I felt that that ought not to occur.

Q. Did not Capt. Cotton inform you that the Harvard had sailed at Mole St. Nicholas, and that one larger ship was seen? A. I do not recollect that he said anything about his sailing at Mole St. Nicholas. His information to me referred to small vessels as I recollect.

Q. Were the injuries to the Merrimack, as reported to you, of such a character as to continue you to believe that the ship was disabled? A. Yes. It was reported to me that her intermediate valves were injured. I sent the chief engineer on board the next morning early, and he reported to me that her repairs would require some days.

Q. When operating in squadron, has any authority to change the course of the flagship without the direct order of the Commander-in-Chief, except to meet some sudden emergency or when there is no time to report to the Commander-in-Chief? A. No.

Q. What reason had you for supposing that the Spanish squadron was run south of Santiago? A. I thought that the Spanish fleet would have been in the direction in which they could have hidden themselves easier.

Q. Why did the Brooklyn and the Massachusetts leave Cienfuegos on May 19 short of coal? A. I think the Brooklyn was about 100 tons short, as nearly as I can remember. The reason was that the Brooklyn was ordered to leave Cienfuegos on May 19 short of coal.

Q. Was there any communication between the Commander-in-Chief to do so was sent over on the morning of the 19th by a young officer whose name I do not recall.

Q. Was there any communication between the Commander-in-Chief to do so was sent over on the morning of the 19th by a young officer whose name I do not recall.

Q. What was your object in seeking to develop the testimony of May 22? A. Simply to be able to know what was in progress. I thought that we ought to know that, in view of the possibility of our being ordered to force the harbor.

Q. Are you certain that the prevailing winds near Cape Cruz in the month of May are to the west or southwest? A. I thought so, because that was the prevailing wind. I knew very little about it. I think that any one who reads sailing directions does not get very much comfort out of them.

Q. You stated that the Spanish fleet moved to the westward based on sound military principles. Why, then, did you go back to Santiago? A. For the reason that after the Spanish fleet had been equalized the time consumed in going back would have been very little, and it eventually turned out that the Spanish fleet was not there that we should not have lost any great time.

Q. What was your object in seeking to develop the testimony of May 22? A. Simply to be able to know what was in progress. I thought that we ought to know that, in view of the possibility of our being ordered to force the harbor.

Q. Are you certain that the prevailing winds near Cape Cruz in the month of May are to the west or southwest? A. I thought so, because that was the prevailing wind. I knew very little about it. I think that any one who reads sailing directions does not get very much comfort out of them.

Q. You stated that the Spanish fleet moved to the westward based on sound military principles. Why, then, did you go back to Santiago? A. For the reason that after the Spanish fleet had been equalized the time consumed in going back would have been very little, and it eventually turned out that the Spanish fleet was not there that we should not have lost any great time.

Q. What was your object in seeking to develop the testimony of May 22? A. Simply to be able to know what was in progress. I thought that we ought to know that, in view of the possibility of our being ordered to force the harbor.

Q. Are you certain that the prevailing winds near Cape Cruz in the month of May are to the west or southwest? A. I thought so, because that was the prevailing wind. I knew very little about it. I think that any one who reads sailing directions does not get very much comfort out of them.

Q. You stated that the Spanish fleet moved to the westward based on sound military principles. Why, then, did you go back to Santiago? A. For the reason that after the Spanish fleet had been equalized the time consumed in going back would have been very little, and it eventually turned out that the Spanish fleet was not there that we should not have lost any great time.

Q. What was your object in seeking to develop the testimony of May 22? A. Simply to be able to know what was in progress. I thought that we ought to know that, in view of the possibility of our being ordered to force the harbor.

Q. Are you certain that the prevailing winds near Cape Cruz in the month of May are to the west or southwest? A. I thought so, because that was the prevailing wind. I knew very little about it. I think that any one who reads sailing directions does not get very much comfort out of them.

Q. You stated that the Spanish fleet moved to the westward based on sound military principles. Why, then, did you go back to Santiago? A. For the reason that after the Spanish fleet had been equalized the time consumed in going back would have been very little, and it eventually turned out that the Spanish fleet was not there that we should not have lost any great time.

Q. What was your object in seeking to develop the testimony of May 22? A. Simply to be able to know what was in progress. I thought that we ought to know that, in view of the possibility of our being ordered to force the harbor.

Q. Are you certain that the prevailing winds near Cape Cruz in the month of May are to the west or southwest? A. I thought so, because that was the prevailing wind. I knew very little about it. I think that any one who reads sailing directions does not get very much comfort out of them.

Q. You stated that the Spanish fleet moved to the westward based on sound military principles. Why, then, did you go back to Santiago? A. For the reason that after the Spanish fleet had been equalized the time consumed in going back would have been very little, and it eventually turned out that the Spanish fleet was not there that we should not have lost any great time.

Q. What was your object in seeking to develop the testimony of May 22? A. Simply to be able to know what was in progress. I thought that we ought to know that, in view of the possibility of our being ordered to force the harbor.

Q. Are you certain that the prevailing winds near Cape Cruz in the month of May are to the west or southwest? A. I thought so, because that was the prevailing wind. I knew very little about it. I think that any one who reads sailing directions does not get very much comfort out of them.

Q. You stated that the Spanish fleet moved to the westward based on sound military principles. Why, then, did you go back to Santiago? A. For the reason that after the Spanish fleet had been equalized the time consumed in going back would have been very little, and it eventually turned out that the Spanish fleet was not there that we should not have lost any great time.

Q. What was your object in seeking to develop the testimony of May 22? A. Simply to be able to know what was in progress. I thought that we ought to know that, in view of the possibility of our being ordered to force the harbor.

Q. Are you certain that the prevailing winds near Cape Cruz in the month of May are to the west or southwest? A. I thought so, because that was the prevailing wind. I knew very little about it. I think that any one who reads sailing directions does not get very much comfort out of them.

Q. You stated that the Spanish fleet moved to the westward based on sound military principles. Why, then, did you go back to Santiago? A. For the reason that after the Spanish fleet had been equalized the time consumed in going back would have been very little, and it eventually turned out that the Spanish fleet was not there that we should not have lost any great time.

Q. What was your object in seeking to develop the testimony of May 22? A. Simply to be able to know what was in progress. I thought that we ought to know that, in view of the possibility of our being ordered to force the harbor.

than were in the battery. I thought after the reconnaissance that the guns in the battery were 8 or possibly 8-inch, and I inferred from the long range, and several shots went outside, that they must have come over the Scaopa Hill.

Q. You have spoken of the caliber of the guns of the ships engaged in the battle of July 3. Did not some of the ships carry 6-inch guns? A. I think the Texas did.

Q. Was the conversation with Capt. Connelley, the coupling and the Brooklyn's engines, held on July 2 or July 3? A. My recollection is that it was on July 2.

Q. Could you not obtain from the chief engineer of the Brooklyn definite information as to the nature of the Merrimack's engines? A. He explained the next day to me, as I said, that they were serious and that it would take a long time to repair them, but as soon as I found that she could use her own engines we went on.

Q. Where could the Spanish squadron have taken refuge by starting south after leaving Santiago? A. They could not have taken refuge south of Santiago, but I should imagine that they would have gone after leaving Santiago, to the westward and gone to Havana, knowing that Admiral Sampson had left there.

Q. Did you, as Commander at any time inform you that the Merrimack was hopelessly disabled? A. I think he said it would depend upon the possibility of repairing her intermediate engine, and that they would determine whether they would work her compound in the meantime.

Q. That is all the questions I have to ask, said Capt. Lemly.

Q. Have no questions to ask, said Mr. Rayner.

Q. Have you any more witnesses? asked Capt. Lemly, turning to Mr. Rayner.

Q. Yes, coming to Mr. Rayner.

Q. Yes, coming to Mr. Rayner.

Q. Yes, coming to Mr. Rayner.

Q. Yes, coming to Mr. Rayner.

Q. Yes, coming to Mr. Rayner.

Q. Yes, coming to Mr. Rayner.

Q. Yes, coming to Mr. Rayner.

Q. Yes, coming to Mr. Rayner.

Q. Yes, coming to Mr. Rayner.

Q. Yes, coming to Mr. Rayner.

Q. Yes, coming to Mr. Rayner.

Q. Yes, coming to Mr. Rayner.

Q. Yes, coming to Mr. Rayner.

Q. Yes, coming to Mr. Rayner.

Q. Yes, coming to Mr. Rayner.

Q. Yes, coming to Mr. Rayner.

Q. Yes, coming to Mr. Rayner.

Q. Yes, coming to Mr. Rayner.

Q. Yes, coming to Mr. Rayner.

Q. Yes, coming to Mr. Rayner.

Q. Yes, coming to Mr. Rayner.

Q. Yes, coming to Mr. Rayner.

Q. Yes, coming to Mr. Rayner.

Q. Yes, coming to Mr. Rayner.

Q. Yes, coming to Mr. Rayner.

Q. Yes, coming to Mr. Rayner.

Q. Yes, coming to Mr. Rayner.

Q. Yes, coming to Mr. Rayner.

## DEVERY WILL GO, SAYS LOW.

### FUSION'S CHOICE FOR MAYOR REPEATS HIS DECLARATION.

Thousands Cheer the Promise to Get Rid of the Police Boss—A Continuous Triumphant Progress From Meeting to Meeting in Harlem—No Discard Note.

The rumblings of the coming landslide for the fusion candidate were heard all along the route which Seth Low covered in Harlem and The Bronx last evening. He made speeches at four different meetings and at each one he was greeted with a repetition with additional emphasis of the "most hysterical" catch of enthusiasm which he met.

In every case the halls were so absurdly disproportionate in size to the number of people who wanted to get into them that thousands even of those who came early were turned away at the doors, unable to wedge themselves in for standing room.

In two cases, at the Old Homestead at Ninetieth street and Third avenue and at Zeller's hall at 170th street and Third avenue, impromptu overflow meetings were held, each with a different and a different success.

It had been rumored that at Zeller's hall there would be all kinds of Tammany annoyances and it had been predicted that if the annoyances materialized riots would follow, for the anti-fusion crowds would be so numerous that they would be much Tammany noise.

But if Mr. Haffen had any such features on his program of the evening he struck them off, and for the roar of the cheers from the big meeting itself, which was heard for blocks around, the neighborhood was as quiet as a quaker meeting.

The only Tammany episode that Mr. Low encountered during the evening was on his way up from Ninetieth to 125th street, in Third avenue. Here his automobile overhauled a long and straggling procession of Tammany men, who were heard for blocks around, the neighborhood was as quiet as a quaker meeting.

From this nondescript crowd as his automobile went by, his face was recognized he received nothing worse than a few cheers, which were not altogether ironical at that time. For the Tammany party was in the hands of the fusion candidate, as his automobile swept down the long Tammany line were as good-natured as the face of the candidate himself.

Another Mr. Low spoke at four meetings and probably between 11,000 and 12,000 people were present at the meetings of the fusion candidate.

His first meeting was in the old Homestead place at Ninetieth street and Third avenue, where he spent time for the first time with a big hall attached, stood in what once was a large yard and is now a picnic ground.

In the picnic grounds in the rear of the house, a number of people were held, which Mr. Low was asked to address and wanted to, but the men who have charge of his schedule would not let him, and he was covered between the three other meetings at which he was booked to speak.

It has been a peculiar feature of the fusion meetings of the last few days that people have come surprisingly early. It has come to be generally known that the only really certain thing of getting into one of these meetings is to say that you are a fusion candidate.

At the very last of the evening, although the meeting was not over, a number of people came to the meeting, and a number of people came to the meeting, and a number of people came to the meeting.

At the very last of the evening, although the meeting was not over, a number of people came to the meeting, and a number of people came to the meeting, and a number of people came to the meeting.

At the very last of the evening, although the meeting was not over, a number of people came to the meeting, and a number of people came to the meeting, and a number of people came to the meeting.

At the very last of the evening, although the meeting was not over, a number of people came to the meeting, and a number of people came to the meeting, and a number of people came to the meeting.

At the very last of the evening, although the meeting was not over, a number of people came to the meeting, and a number of people came to the meeting, and a number of people came to the meeting.

At the very last of the evening, although the meeting was not over, a number of people came to the meeting, and a number of people came to the meeting, and a number of people came to the meeting.

At the very last of the evening, although the meeting was not over, a number of people came to the meeting, and a number of people came to the meeting, and a number of people came to the meeting.

At the very last of the evening, although the meeting was not over, a number of people came to the meeting, and a number of people came to the meeting, and a number of people came to the meeting.

At the very last of the evening, although the meeting was not over, a number of people came to the meeting, and a number of people came to the meeting, and a number of people came to the meeting.

At the very last of the evening, although the meeting was not over, a number of people came to the meeting, and a number of people came to the meeting, and a number of people came to the meeting.

At the very last of the evening, although the meeting was not over, a number of people came to the meeting, and a number of people came to the meeting, and a number of people came to the meeting.

At the very last of the evening, although the meeting was not over, a number of people came to the meeting, and a number of people came to the meeting, and a number of people came to the meeting.

At the very last of the evening, although the meeting was not over, a number of people came to the meeting, and a number of people came to the meeting, and a number of people came to the meeting.

At the very last of the evening, although the meeting was not over, a number of people came to the meeting, and a number of people came to the meeting, and a number of people came to the meeting.

At the very last of the evening, although the meeting was not over, a number of people came to the meeting, and a number of people came to the meeting, and a number of people came to the meeting.

At the very last of the evening, although the meeting was not over, a number of people came to the meeting, and a number of people came to the meeting, and a number of people came to the meeting.

At the very last of the evening, although the meeting was not over, a number of people came to the meeting, and a number of people came to the meeting, and a number of people came to the meeting.

At the very last of the evening, although the meeting was not over, a number of people came to the meeting, and a number of people came to the meeting, and a number of people came to the meeting.

At the very last of the evening, although the meeting was not over, a number of people came to the meeting, and a number of people came to the meeting, and a number of people came to the meeting.

At the very last of the evening, although the meeting was not over, a number of people came to the meeting, and a number of people came to the meeting, and a number of people came to the meeting.

At the very last of the evening, although the meeting was not over, a number of people came to the meeting, and a number of people came to the meeting, and a number of people came to the meeting.

At the very last of the evening, although the meeting was not over, a number of people came to the meeting, and a number of people came to the meeting, and a number of people came to the meeting.

At the very last of the evening, although the meeting was not over, a number of people came to the meeting, and a number of people came to the meeting, and a number of people came to the meeting.

At the very last of the evening, although the meeting was not over, a number of people came to the meeting, and a number of people came to the meeting, and a number of people came to the meeting.

## CITY NEEDS ME, SAYS SHEPARD

### IT MUST BE GUARDED AGAINST REPUBLICANS AT ALBANY

And He Offers to Repeat All He Said Against Tammany in 1897. Mr. Low Will Repeat What He Said About the City in the Same Campaign.

Edward Morse Shepard made two speeches last night. One was witnessed by a vast multitude in Harlem at Sulzer's Park and heard by a few; the other was delivered in Murray Hill Lyceum before as many people as the place would hold.

In the Harlem speech the Squire's candidate for Mayor repeated the arguments he made at Carnegie Hall, adding only a few statistics with regard to Mayor Van Wyck's use of the veto power, which were intended to show that unless New York Legislature would do a few things to the city, but which served as well to show that the Legislature was very considerate of the Mayor's views on city legislation.

At the downtown meeting Mr. Shepard spunked up and said that he would repeat all the speeches he had ever made about Tammany Hall four years ago. Mr. Low will repeat what he said about the city in the same campaign.

Mr. Shepard and Sheriff Walton of Kings, who has been his body guard whenever he has ventured into the city of Manhattan, went to Harlem early. Charles W. Dayton had a dinner in Mr. Shepard's honor. There were present before the candidate Mr. Dayton's brother, Isaac A. Hopper, Surrogate Fitzgerald and Former Mayor Gilroy. After the dinner Street Commissioner Moebius was permitted to come to the house and escort Mr. Shepard to the park.

Mr. Shepard to the chairman, Magistrate Zeller, sat down with Johnny Sexton and John F. Carroll, who had gone on to Harlem to dine with Mr. Nagle and preserve the balance of things.

The meeting started with the reading of resolutions which viewed the Hon. William Travers Jerome with alarm thus:

We condemn the Partisan, incoherent and unscrupulous tactics of the fusion candidate for District Attorney, William T. Jerome. His actions have been a disgrace to the city of New York.

We condemn the Partisan, incoherent and unscrupulous tactics of the fusion candidate for District Attorney, William T. Jerome. His actions have been a disgrace to the city of New York.

We condemn the Partisan, incoherent and unscrupulous tactics of the fusion candidate for District Attorney, William T. Jerome. His actions have been a disgrace to the city of New York.

We condemn the Partisan, incoherent and unscrupulous tactics of the fusion candidate for District Attorney, William T. Jerome. His actions have been a disgrace to the city of New York.

We condemn the Partisan, incoherent and unscrupulous tactics of the fusion candidate for District Attorney, William T. Jerome. His actions have been a disgrace to the city of New York.

We condemn the Partisan, incoherent and unscrupulous tactics of the fusion candidate for District Attorney, William T. Jerome. His actions have been a disgrace to the city of New York.

We condemn the Partisan, incoherent and unscrupulous tactics of the fusion candidate for District Attorney, William T. Jerome. His actions have been a disgrace to the city of New York.

We condemn the Partisan, incoherent and unscrupulous tactics of the fusion candidate for District Attorney, William T. Jerome. His actions have been a disgrace to the city of New York.

We condemn the Partisan, incoherent and unscrupulous tactics of the fusion candidate for District Attorney, William T. Jerome. His actions have been a disgrace to the city of New York.

We condemn the Partisan, incoherent and unscrupulous tactics of the fusion candidate for District Attorney, William T. Jerome. His actions have been a disgrace to the city of New York.

We condemn the Partisan, incoherent and unscrupulous tactics of the fusion candidate for District Attorney, William T. Jerome. His actions have been a disgrace to the city of New York.

We condemn the Partisan, incoherent and unscrupulous tactics of the fusion candidate for District Attorney, William T. Jerome. His actions have been a disgrace to the city of New York.

We condemn the Partisan, incoherent and unscrupulous tactics of the fusion candidate for District Attorney, William T. Jerome. His actions have been a disgrace to the city of New York.

We condemn the Partisan, incoherent and unscrupulous tactics of the fusion candidate for District Attorney, William T. Jerome. His actions have been a disgrace to the city of New York.

We condemn the Partisan, incoherent and unscrupulous tactics of the fusion candidate for District Attorney, William T. Jerome. His actions have been a disgrace to the city of New York.

We condemn the Partisan, incoherent and unscrupulous tactics of the fusion candidate for District Attorney, William T. Jerome. His actions have been a disgrace to the city of New York.

We condemn the Partisan, incoherent and unscrupulous tactics of the fusion candidate for District Attorney, William T. Jerome. His actions have been a disgrace to the city of New York.

We condemn the Partisan, incoherent and unscrupulous tactics of the fusion candidate for District Attorney, William T. Jerome. His actions have been a disgrace to the city of New York.

We condemn the Partisan, incoherent and unscrupulous tactics of the fusion candidate for District Attorney, William T. Jerome. His actions have been a disgrace to the city of New York.

We condemn the Partisan, incoherent and unscrupulous tactics of the fusion candidate for District Attorney, William T. Jerome. His actions have been a disgrace to the city of New York.

We condemn the Partisan, incoherent and unscrupulous tactics of the fusion candidate for District Attorney, William T. Jerome. His actions have been a disgrace to the city of New York.

We condemn the Partisan, incoherent and unscrupulous tactics of the fusion candidate for District Attorney, William T. Jerome. His actions have been a disgrace to the city of New York.

We condemn the Partisan, incoherent and unscrupulous tactics of the fusion candidate for District Attorney, William T. Jerome. His actions have been a disgrace to the city of New York.

We condemn the Partisan, incoherent and unscrupulous tactics of the fusion candidate for District Attorney, William T. Jerome. His actions have been a disgrace to the city of New York.

We condemn the Partisan, incoherent and unscrupulous tactics of the fusion candidate for District Attorney, William T. Jerome. His actions have been a disgrace to the city of New York.

We condemn the Partisan, incoherent and unscrupulous tactics of the fusion candidate for District Attorney, William T. Jerome. His actions have been a disgrace to the city of New York.

We condemn the Partisan, incoherent and unscrupulous tactics of the fusion candidate for District Attorney, William T. Jerome. His actions have been a disgrace to the city of New York.

We condemn the Partisan, incoherent and unscrupulous tactics of the fusion candidate for District Attorney, William T. Jerome. His actions have been a disgrace to the city of New York.

We condemn the Partisan, incoherent and unscrupulous tactics of the fusion candidate for District Attorney, William T. Jerome. His actions have been a disgrace to the city of New York.

## CITY NEEDS ME, SAYS SHEPARD

### IT MUST BE GUARDED AGAINST REPUBLICANS AT ALBANY

And He Offers to Repeat All He Said Against Tammany in 1897. Mr. Low Will Repeat What He Said About the City in the Same Campaign.

Edward Morse Shepard made two speeches last night. One was witnessed by a vast multitude in Harlem at Sulzer's Park and heard by a few; the other was delivered in Murray Hill Lyceum before as many people as the place would hold.

In the Harlem speech the Squire's candidate for Mayor repeated the arguments he made at Carnegie Hall, adding only a few statistics with regard to Mayor Van Wyck's use of the veto power, which were intended to show that unless New York Legislature would do a few things to the city, but which served as well to show that the Legislature was very considerate of the Mayor's views on city legislation.

At the downtown meeting Mr. Shepard spunked up and said that he would repeat all the speeches he had ever made about Tammany Hall four years ago. Mr. Low will repeat what he said about the city in the same campaign.

Mr. Shepard and Sheriff Walton of Kings, who has been his body guard whenever he has ventured into the city of Manhattan, went to Harlem early. Charles W. Dayton had a dinner in Mr. Shepard's honor. There were present before the candidate Mr. Dayton's brother, Isaac A. Hopper, Surrogate Fitzgerald and Former Mayor Gilroy. After the dinner Street Commissioner Moebius was permitted to come to the house and escort Mr. Shepard to the park.

Mr. Shepard to the chairman, Magistrate Zeller, sat down with Johnny Sexton and John F. Carroll, who had gone on to Harlem to dine with Mr. Nagle and preserve the balance of things.

The meeting started with the reading of resolutions which viewed the Hon. William Travers Jerome with alarm thus:

We condemn the Partisan, incoherent and unscrupulous tactics of the fusion candidate for District Attorney, William T. Jerome. His actions have been a disgrace to the city of New York.</